

Flipped learning for Lesson 14

L013 to L014 • Are relationships becoming more individualised? • 10–15 minutes • v1.0

CONNECT & CHECK | SHARE | PRESENT | APPLY | RECALL & REVIEW

Read the three passages, then answer. Use fictional examples; no external research or personal disclosure is required.

Giddens: negotiated intimacy

Giddens's pure relationship is sustained through what the relationship provides to the people involved, rather than only through external tradition. Pure does not mean morally superior or conflict-free. Confluent love is contingent: continuation depends on an ongoing relationship rather than an unconditional promise of permanence. Negotiation may create opportunities for equality, but the model does not establish that every relationship already operates this way.

Two connected concepts

Individualisation: A process in which people must increasingly construct and manage their own biographies. Detraditionalisation: Weakening of the taken-for-granted authority of inherited traditions.

A question to bring

Define the pure relationship and correct one possible misunderstanding. It is sustained through the rewards of the relationship itself. Pure does not mean perfect, morally better, necessarily unmarried or free from inequality.

F1

Summarise the main idea in the first passage in one or two sentences.

F2

Define individualisation and give an original fictional example.

F3

What evidence would you need to test the opening claim? Retrieve two current-lesson concepts tomorrow and next week.
